arXiv:0805.4604v1 [math.FA] 29 May 2008

Maximal monotone operators with a unique extension to the bidual

M. Marques Alves[*] [†] B. F. Svaiter[‡] [§]

Abstract

We present a new sufficient condition under which a maximal monotone operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ admits a unique maximal monotone extension to the bidual $\widetilde{T} : X^{**} \rightrightarrows X^*$. For non-linear operators this condition is equivalent to uniqueness of the extension.

The class of maximal monotone operators which satisfy this new condition includes class of Gossez type D maximal monotone operators, previously defined and studied by J.-P. Gossez, and all maximal monotone operators of this new class satisfies a restricted version of Brøndsted-Rockafellar condition.

The central tool in our approach is the $\mathcal{S}$-function defined and studied by Burachik and Svaiter in 2000 [4](submission date, July 2000). For a generic operator, this function is the supremum of all convex lower semicontinuous functions which are majorized by the duality product in the graph of the operator.

We also prove in this work that if the graph of a maximal monotone operator is convex, then this graph is an affine linear subspace.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H05, 49J52, 47N10.

Key words: Maximal monotone operators, extension, bidual, Banach spaces, Brøndsted-Rockafellar property, $\mathcal{S}$-function.

[*]IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (maicon@impa.br)

[†]Partially supported by Brazilian CNPq scholarship 140525/2005-0.

[‡]IMPA, Estrada Dona Castorina 110, 22460-320 Rio de Janeiro, Brazil (benar@impa.br)

[§]Partially supported by CNPq grants 300755/2005-8, 475647/2006-8 and by PRONEX-Optimization

1 Introduction

Let X be a real Banach space. We use the notation X^* for the topological dual of X and $\pi_{X\times X^*}$, $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{X\times X^*}$ for the duality product

$$\pi_{X\times X^*}(x,x^*) = \langle x, x^*\rangle_{X\times X^*} = x^*(x).$$

Whenever the underlying domain of the duality product is clear, we will use the notations π and $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$.

A point point-to-set operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ (respectively $T : X^{**} \rightrightarrows X^*$) is a relation on X to X^* (respectively on X^{**} to X^*):

$$T \subset X \times X^* \quad (\text{respectively } T \subset X^{**} \times X^*),$$

and $r \in T(q)$ means $(q,r) \in T$. An operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$, or $T : X^{**} \rightrightarrows X^*$, is *monotone* if

$$\langle q - q', r - r'\rangle \geq 0, \forall (q,r), (q',r') \in T.$$

An operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is *maximal monotone* (in $X \times X^*$) if it is monotone and maximal (whit respect to the inclusion) in the family of monotone operators of X in X^*. An operator $T : X^{**} \rightrightarrows X^*$ is maximal monotone (in $X^{**} \times X^*$) if it is monotone and maximal (with respect to the inclusion) in the family of monotone operators of X^{**} in X^*.

The canonical injection of X into X^{**} allows one to identity X with a subset of X^{**}. Therefore, any maximal monotone operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is also a monotone operator $T : X^{**} \rightrightarrows X^*$ and admits one (ore more) maximal monotone extension in $X^{**} \times X^*$. In general this maximal monotone extension will not be unique. We are concerned with the problem:

> Under which conditions a maximal monotone operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ has a unique extension to the bidual, $X^{**} \rightrightarrows X^*$?

The problem of unicity of maximal extension of a generic monotone operator was studied in details by Legaz and Svaiter in [17]. That paper will be an important reference for the present work.

The specific problem above mentioned, of uniqueness of extension a *maximal monotone* operator to the bidual, has been previously addressed by Gossez [9, 10, 11, 12]. He found a condition under which uniqueness of the extension is guaranteed [12]. We will provide another condition, which encompass Gossez's condition, and we will also prove that operators which satisfy this more general condition satisfy also a relaxed version of the Brøndsted-Rockafellar condition [16].

Definition 1.1. *A maximal monotone operator* $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ *is* Gossez type D *if for any* $(x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}$ *such that*

$$\langle y - x^{**}, y^* - x^* \rangle \geq 0, \, \forall (y, y^*) \in T$$

there exists a bounded *net* $\{(x_i, x_i^*)\}_{i \in I} \subset T$ *such that*

$$x_i \overset{\sigma(X^{**}, X^*)}{\longrightarrow} x^{**}, \qquad x_i^* \overset{\|\cdot\|}{\longrightarrow} x^*.$$

Gossez has proved that if $T \subset X \times X^*$ is maximal monotone of Gossez type D, then it has a *unique* maximal monotone extension to $X^{**} \times X^*$, that is, $\widetilde{T} : X^{**} \rightrightarrows X^*$ maximal monotone and $T \subset \widetilde{T}$.

Now we will discuss the Brøndsted-Rockafellar property. Let $T \subset X \times X^*$ be maximal monotone. Burachik, Iusem and Svaiter [2] defined the T^ε enlargement of T for $\varepsilon \geq 0$, as $T^\varepsilon : X \rightrightarrows X^*$

$$T^\varepsilon(x) = \{x^* \in X^* \mid \langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq -\varepsilon \quad \forall (y, y^*) \in T\}. \tag{1}$$

It is trivial to verify that $T \subset T^\varepsilon$. The T^ε enlargement is a generalization of the ε-subdifferential. As the ε-subdifferential, the T^ε has also practical uses [18, 19, 7, 13, 14, 15]. Brøndsted and Rockafellar proved that the the ε-subdifferential may be seen as an approximation of the *exact* subdifferential at a nearby point. This property, may be extended to the context of maximal monotone operators. A maximal monotone operator has the *Brøndsted-Rockafellar property* if, for any $\varepsilon, \lambda > 0$,

$$x^* \in T^\varepsilon(x) \Rightarrow \forall \lambda > 0, \; \exists (\bar{x}, \bar{x}^*) \in T, \quad \|x - \bar{x}\| \leq \lambda, \quad \|\bar{x}^* - x^*\| \leq \varepsilon/\lambda.$$

This property was proved in Hilbert spaces in [6]. Latter on, Burachik and Svaiter [3] proved that in a *reflexive* Banach space, all maximal monotone operators satisfies this property. The operator T satisfy the *restricted Brøndsted-Rockafellar property* [16] if

$$\begin{aligned} x^* \in T^\varepsilon(x), \; \tilde{\varepsilon} > \varepsilon \Rightarrow \forall \lambda > 0, \exists (\bar{x}, \bar{x}^*) \in T, & \\ \|x - \bar{x}\| < \lambda, \quad \|\bar{x}^* - x^*\| < \tilde{\varepsilon}/\lambda. & \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

In a recent work [16] the authors defined a general class of maximal monotone operators in non-reflexive Banach spaces which satisfies the above property. An open question is whether a maximal monotone operator Gossez type D has Brøndsted-Rockafellar property.

We will analyze a new condition for, which embraces Gossez type D condition, and which is sufficient for a maximal monotone operator to:

1. admit a unique maximal monotone extension to the bidual space;

2. satisfy the restricted Brøndsted-Rockafellar property.

Moreover, we will prove that, for non-linear maximal monotone operators, this new condition is equivalent to unicity of extension to the bidual.

We will also study the relation of this new condition with general properties of Fitzpatrick family (defined bellow). So, the main properties of this families, systematically studied in the series [20, 4, 21, 5, 16] will also be used. As this field has been subject of intense research, to clarify precedence issues, we will include submission date of some works where these properties were obtained.

Given a maximal monotone operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$, Fitzpatrick defined [8] the family $\mathcal{F}_T$ as those convex, lower semicontinuous functions in $X \times X^*$ which are bounded bellow by the duality product and coincides with it at T:

$$\mathcal{F}_T = \left\{ h \in \bar{\mathbb{R}}^{X\times X^*} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} h \text{ is convex and lower semicontinuous} \\ \langle x, x^*\rangle \leq h(x, x^*), \quad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \\ (x, x^*) \in T \Rightarrow h(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^*\rangle \end{array} \right\}. \tag{3}$$

Fitzpatrick found an explicit formula for the minimal element of $\mathcal{F}_T$, from now on *Fitzpatrick function* of T:

$$\varphi_T(x, x^*) = \sup_{(y,y^*)\in T} \langle x, y^*\rangle + \langle y, x^*\rangle - \langle y^*, y\rangle. \tag{4}$$

Note that in the above definition, T may be a generic subset of $X \times X^*$. Legaz and Svaiter in [17] studied generic properties of φ_T for arbitrary sets and its relation with monotonicity.

The *conjugate* of a function $f : X \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ is defined as $f^* : X^* \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$f^*(x^*) = \sup_{x\in X} \langle x, x^*\rangle - f(x),$$

and the *convex closure* of f is $\operatorname{cl}\operatorname{conv} f : X \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$, the largest convex lower semicontinuous function majorized by f:

$$\operatorname{cl}\operatorname{conv} f(x) := \sup\{h(x) \mid h \text{ convex, lower semicontinuous, } h \leq f\}.$$

The indicator function of $A \subset X$ is $\delta_{A,X} : X \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$\delta_{A,X}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in A \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Whenever the set X is implicitly defined, we use the notation δ_A.

Definition 1.2 ([4](submission date, July 2000, Eq. (35))**.**
The $\mathcal{S}$-function (original notation $\Lambda_{\overline{S^T}}$) associated with a maximal monotone operator $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is $\mathcal{S}_T : X \times X^* \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$

$$\mathcal{S}_T = \operatorname{cl}\operatorname{conv}(\pi + \delta_T). \tag{5}$$

In [4] it is proved that the $\mathcal{S}$-function is the *supremum* of the family of Fitzpatrick function. The epigraphical structure of the $\mathcal{S}$-function was previously studied in [20] (submission date, September 1999). This function will be central for defining the new class of maximal monotone operators that admits a unique maximal monotone extension to the bidual.

The $\mathcal{S}$-function and Fitzpatrick function are still well defined for arbitrary sets (or operators) $T \subset X \times X^*$:

$$\mathcal{S}_T : X \times X^* \to \bar{\mathbb{R}},\ \mathcal{S}_T = \operatorname{cl}\operatorname{conv}(\pi + \delta_T), \tag{6}$$

$$\varphi_T : X \times X^* \to \bar{\mathbb{R}},\ \varphi_T(x, x^*) = \sup_{(y,y^*)\in T} \langle x, y^*\rangle + \langle y, x^*\rangle - \langle y^*, y\rangle. \tag{7}$$

Legaz and Svaiter also studied in [17] generic properties of $\mathcal{S}$ (with the notation σ_T) and φ_T for arbitrary sets and its relation with monotonicity and maximal monotonicity.

To simplify the notation, define

$$\Lambda : X^{**} \times X^* \to X^* \times X^{**}, \quad \Lambda(x^{**}, x^*) = (x^*, x^{**}).$$

Note that $\Lambda(X \times X^*) = X^* \times X$. We will prove three main results in this paper:

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a generic Banach space and $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ a maximal monotone operator such that*

$$(\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x^*, x^{**}) \geq \langle x^*, x^{**}\rangle, \qquad \forall (x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}. \tag{8}$$

Then T admits a unique maximal monotone extension $\widetilde{T} : X^{**} \rightrightarrows X^*$.

Additionally, $(\mathcal{S}_T)^* = \varphi_{\Lambda\widetilde{T}}$ and for all $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$,

$$h^*(x^*, x^{**}) \geq \langle x^*, x^{**}\rangle, \quad \forall (x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**};$$
$$h^* \in \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\widetilde{T}}.$$

Moreover, T satisfy the restricted Brøndsted-Rockafellar property.

The last statement of the above theorem is a particular case of a more general result proved in [16]. In that paper, it is proved that if a convex lower semicontinuous function in $X \times X^*$ and its conjugate majorizes the duality product in $X \times X^*$ and $X^* \times X^{**}$ respectively, then this function is in the Fitzpatrick family of a maximal monotone operator and this maximal monotone operator satisfy the restricted Brøndsted-Rockafellar condition. This result, in a reflexive Banach space was previously obtained in [5].

A natural question is whether the converse of Theorem 1.1 holds. To give a partial answer to this question, first recall that a linear (affine) subspace of a real linear space Z is a set $A \subset Z$ such that there exists V, subspace of Z, and a point z_0 such that

$$A = V + \{z_0\} = \{z + z_0 \mid z \in V\}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is maximal monotone and is not affine linear. In this case, if T has a unique extension to $X^{**} \times X^*$, then*

$$(\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x^*, x^{**}) \geq \langle x^*, x^{**} \rangle, \qquad \forall (x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}, \tag{9}$$

and T satisfy the restricted Brøndsted-Rockafellar property.

According to the above theorems, for non-linear maximal monotone operators, condition (8) is equivalent to unicity of maximal monotone extension to the bidual. Surprisingly, condition (8) is as general or even more general that Gossez's type D property. This is the last result of this work:

Theorem 1.3. *Let X be a generic real Banach space and $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone and Gossez type D. Then,*

$$(\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x^*, x^{**}) \geq \langle x^*, x^{**} \rangle, \qquad \forall (x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}.$$

In particular, for all $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$,

$$h^*(x^*, x^{**}) \geq \langle x^*, x^{**} \rangle, \qquad \forall (x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}.$$

and T satisfy the Brøndsted-Rockafellar property.

2 Preliminary results

As mentioned before, Fitzpatrick proved that the family $\mathcal{F}_T$ is non-empty by producing its smallest element φ_T. Fitzpatrick also proved that *any* function in this family fully characterizes the maximal monotone operator which defines the family:

Theorem 2.1 ([8]). *Let $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone. Then, for any $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$,*

$$h(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle \iff (x, x^*) \in T, \qquad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*.$$

Moreover, φ_T is the smallest function of $\mathcal{F}_T$.

In [4](submission date, July 2000), Burachik and Svaiter proved

Theorem 2.2 ([4, eqns. (32), (37), (39)]). *Let $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ be maximal monotone and $\mathcal{S}_T$ be the $\mathcal{S}$-function associated with T, as defined in* (5). *Then,*

$$\mathcal{S}_T \in \mathcal{F}_T, \qquad \mathcal{S}_T := \sup_{h \in \mathcal{F}_T} \{h\}.$$

and $\varphi_T \leq h \leq \mathcal{S}_T$ for all $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$.

Moreover, φ_T and $\mathcal{S}_T$ are related as follows:

$$\varphi_T(x, x^*) = (\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x^*, x), \qquad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*.$$

Define, for $h : X \times X^* \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$\mathcal{J}h : X \times X^* \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \mathcal{J}h(x, x^*) = h^*(x^*, x). \tag{10}$$

According to the above theorem, $\mathcal{J}\mathcal{S}_T = \varphi_T \in \mathcal{F}_T$. So, it is natural to ask whether $\mathcal{J}$ maps $\mathcal{F}_T$ in to itself. Burachik and Svaiter also proved that this happens in fact:

Theorem 2.3 ([4, Theorem 5.3]). *Suppose that T is maximal monotone. Then*

$$\mathcal{J}h \in \mathcal{F}_T, \qquad \forall h \in \mathcal{F}_T,$$

that is, if $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$, and

$$g : X \times X^* \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}, \quad g(x, x^*) = h^*(x^*, x),$$

then $g \in \mathcal{F}_T$.

In a reflexive Banach space $\mathcal{J}\varphi_T = \mathcal{S}_T$.

It is interesting to note that $\mathcal{J}$ is a order-reversing mapping of $\mathcal{F}_T$ into itself. This fact suggests that this mapping may have fixed points in $\mathcal{F}_T$. Svaiter proved [21](submission date, July 2002) that if T is maximal monotone, then $\mathcal{J}$ has always a fixed point in $\mathcal{F}_T$.

Legaz and Svaiter [17] observed that for a generic $T \subset X \times X^*$

$$\varphi_T(x, x^*) = (\pi + \delta_T)^*(x^*, x) = (\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x^*, x), \qquad \forall (x, x^*) \in X \times X^*, \tag{11}$$

Therefore, also for an arbitrary T, $\mathcal{JS}_T = \varphi_T$.

It will be useful to consider monotonicity a *relation* and to study it also in the framework of the classical notion of polarity [1]

Definition 2.1 ([17])**.** *Two points* $(x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in X \times X^*$ *are in* monotone relation*,* $(x, x^*)\mu(y, y^*)$ *if*

$$\langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

Give $A \subset X \times X^*$*, the* monotone polar *(in* $X \times X^*$*) of* A *is the set* A^μ*,*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} A^\mu & = & \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid (x, x^*)\mu(y, y^*), \qquad \forall (y, y^*) \in A\}, \\ & = & \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid \langle x - y, x^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall (y, y^*) \in A\}. \end{array} \tag{12}$$

We shall need some results of Legaz and Svaiter which are scattered along [17] and which we expound in the next two theorems:

Theorem 2.4 ([17, Eq. (22), Prop. 2, Prop. 21])**.** *Let* $A \subset X \times X^*$*. Then*

$$A^\mu = \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid \varphi_T(x, x^*) \leq \langle x, x^* \rangle\}, \tag{13}$$

and the following conditions are equivalent

1. *A is monotone,*
2. *$\varphi_A \leq (\pi + \delta_A)$.*
3. *$A \subset A^\mu$,*

Note in the above theorem and in the definition of Fitzpatrick's family, the convenience of defining as in [17, Eq. (12) and bellow], for $h : X \times X^* \to \bar{\mathbb{R}}$:

$$\begin{array}{rl} b(h) & := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid h(x, x^*) \leq \langle x, x^* \rangle\}, \\ L(h) & := \{(x, x^*) \in X \times X^* \mid h(x, x^*) = \langle x, x^* \rangle\}. \end{array} \tag{14}$$

Theorem 2.5 ([17, Prop. 36, Lemma 38])**.** *Suppose that* $A \subset X \times X^*$ *is monotone. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

1. *A has a unique maximal monotone extension (in $X \times X^*$),*
2. *A^μ is monotone*
3. *A^μ is maximal monotone,*

and if any of these conditions holds, then A^μ *is the unique maximal monotone extension of* A*.*

Moreover, still assuming only A *monotone,*

$$\varphi_A \geq \pi \iff b(\varphi_A) = L(\varphi_A) \tag{15}$$

and if these conditions holds, then A *has a unique maximal monotone extension,* A^μ*.*

3 Convexity and maximal monotonicity

To prove Theorem 1.2 we shall need an additional result, which, up to our knowledge, is also new:

Lemma 3.1. *If $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is maximal monotone and convex, then T is affine linear.*

Proof. Take and arbitrary $(x_0, x_0^*) \in T$ and define

$$T_0 = T - \{(x_0, x_0^*)\}$$

Note that T_0 is maximal monotone and convex. So, it suffices to prove that T_0 is a linear subspace of $X \times X^*$. Take an arbitrary $(x, x^*) \in T_0$. First we claim that

$$t(x, x^*) \in T_0, \qquad \forall t \geq 0. \tag{16}$$

For $0 \leq t \leq 1$ the above inclusion holds because $(0, 0) \in T_0$ and T_0 is convex For the case $t \geq 1$ let $(y, y^*) \in T$. Then, $t^{-1}(y, y^*) \in T_0$ and so

$$\langle x - t^{-1}y, x^* - t^{-1}y^* \rangle \geq 0.$$

Multiplying this inequality by t we conclude that $\langle tx - y, tx^* - y^* \rangle \geq 0$. As (y, y^*) is a generic element of T_0, which is maximal monotone, we conclude that $t(x, x^*) \in T_0$ and the claim (16) holds.

We have just proved that T_0 is a convex cone. Now take an arbitrary pair

$$(x, x^*), (y, y^*) \in T_0.$$

Then

$$(x + y, x^* + y^*) = 2\left[\frac{1}{2}(x, x^*) + \frac{1}{2}(y, y^*)\right] \in T_0. \tag{17}$$

As $(0, 0) \in T_0$,

$$\langle y - (-x), y^* - (-x^*) \rangle = \langle (y + x) - 0, (y^* + x^*) - 0 \rangle \geq 0.$$

Since T_0 is maximal monotone, we conclude that $-(x, x^*) \in T_0$. Therefore, using again (16) we conclude that T_0 is closed under scalar multiplication. To end the proof, combine this result with (17) to conclude that T_0 is a linear subspace. □

4 Proof of the main results

From now on, $T : X \rightrightarrows X^*$ is a maximal monotone operator. The inverse of T is $T^{-1} : X^* \rightrightarrows X$,

$$T^{-1} = \{(x^*, x) \in X^* \times X \mid (x, x^*) \in T\}. \tag{18}$$

Note that $T^{-1} \subset X^* \times X \subset X^* \times X^{**}$. Fitzpatrick function of T^{-1}, regarded as a subset of $X^* \times X^{**}$ is, according to (7)

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{T^{-1},X^*\times X^{**}}(x^*, x^{**}) &= \sup_{(y^*,y^{**})\in T^{-1}} \langle x^*, y^{**}\rangle + \langle y^*, x^{**}\rangle - \langle y^*, y^{**}\rangle \\ &= \sup_{(y^*,y)\in T^{-1}} \langle x^*, y\rangle + \langle y^*, x^{**}\rangle - \langle y^*, y\rangle \\ &= (\pi + \delta_T)^* (x^*, x^{**}).\end{aligned}$$

where the last x^* is identifying with its image under the canonical injection of X^* into X^{***}. Using the above equations, (6) and the fact that conjugation is invariant under the convex-closure operation we obtain

$$\varphi_{T^{-1},X^*\times X^{**}} = (\pi + \delta_T)^* = (\mathfrak{S}_T)^*. \tag{19}$$

where $\pi = \pi_{X\times X^*}$ and $\delta_T = \delta_{T,X\times X^*}$.

We will use the notation $\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\mu,X^*\times X^{**}}$ for denoting the monotone polar of T^{-1} in $X^* \times X^{**}$. Combing the above equation with Theorem 2.4 we obtain a simple expression for this monotone polar:

$$\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\mu,X^*\times X^{**}} = \{(x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**} \,|\, (\mathfrak{S}_T)^*(x^*, x^{**}) \le \langle x^*, x^{**}\rangle\}. \tag{20}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Combining assumption (8) and (19) we have

$$\varphi_{T^{-1},X^*\times X^{**}}(x^*, x^{**}) = (\mathfrak{S}_T)^*(x^*, x^{**}) \ge \langle x^*, x^{**}\rangle, \qquad \forall (x^*, x^{**}) \in X^*\times X^{**}.$$

Therefore, using Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.4 for $A = T^{-1} \subset X^* \times X^{**}$ we conclude that $\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\mu,X^*\times X^{**}}$, the monotone polar of T^{-1} in $X^* \times X^{**}$, is the *unique* maximal monotone extension of T^{-1} to $X^* \times X^{**}$ and

$$\left(T^{-1}\right)^{\mu,X^*\times X^{**}} = \{(x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**} \,|\, (\mathfrak{S}_T)^*(x^*, x^{**}) = \langle x^*, x^{**}\rangle\}. \tag{21}$$

Using the above result and again (8), we conclude that

$$(\mathfrak{S}_T)^* \in \mathcal{F}_{(T^{-1})^{\mu,X^*\times X^{**}}}.$$

Now, define

$$\widetilde{T} = \{(x^{**}, x^*) \in X^{**} \times X^* \mid (x^*, x^{**}) \in \left(T^{-1}\right)^{\mu, X^* \times X^{**}}\}. \tag{22}$$

Note that $\Lambda T = T^{-1}$ and $\Lambda\, \widetilde{T} = \left(T^{-1}\right)^{\mu, X^* \times X^{**}}$. Therefore

$$(\mathcal{S}_T)^* \in \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\, \widetilde{T}}\,. \tag{23}$$

Moreover, as Λ is a bijection which preserve the duality product, we conclude that $\widetilde{T}$ is the unique maximal monotone extension of T in $X^{**} \times X^*$.

Since $T \subset \widetilde{T}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\Lambda\widetilde{T}}(x^*, x^{**}) &= \sup_{(y^*, y^{**}) \in \Lambda\widetilde{T}} \langle x^*, y^{**}\rangle + \langle y^*, x^{**}\rangle - \langle y^*, y^{**}\rangle \\
&= \sup_{(y^{**}, y^*) \in \widetilde{T}} \langle x^*, y^{**}\rangle + \langle y^*, x^{**}\rangle - \langle y^*, y^{**}\rangle \\
&\geq \sup_{(y, y^*) \in T} \langle y, x^*\rangle + \langle y^*, x^{**}\rangle - \langle y, y^*\rangle \quad = (\pi + \delta_T)^*(x^*, x^{**}).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining the above equation with the second equality in (19) we conclude that $\varphi_{\Lambda\widetilde{T}} \geq (\mathcal{S}_T)^*$. Using also the fact that $\varphi_{\Lambda\widetilde{T}}$ is minimal in $\mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\, \widetilde{T}}$ and (23) we obtain $\varphi_{\Lambda\widetilde{T}} = (\mathcal{S}_T)^*$.

By Theorem 2.2, $\varphi_T(x, x^*) = (\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x^*, x)$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
(\varphi_T)^*(x^*, x^{**}) &= \sup_{(y, y^*) \in X \times X^*} \langle y, x^*\rangle + \langle y^*, x^{**}\rangle - \varphi_T(y, y^*) \\
&= \sup_{(y, y^*) \in X \times X^*} \langle y, x^*\rangle + \langle y^*, x^{**}\rangle - (\mathcal{S}_T)^*(y^*, y) \\
&\leq \sup_{(y^{**}, y^*) \in X^{**} \times X^*} \langle y^{**}, x^*\rangle + \langle y^*, x^{**}\rangle - (\mathcal{S}_T)^*(y^*, y^{**}) \\
&= (\mathcal{S}_T)^{**}(x^{**}, x^*).
\end{aligned}$$

Take $h \in \mathcal{F}_T$. By Theorem 2.2 $\varphi_T \leq h \leq s_T$. Using also the fact that conjugation reverts the order, the above equation and assumption (8) we conclude that, for any (x^*, x^{**}),

$$\langle x^*, x^{**}\rangle \leq \mathcal{S}_T^*(x^*, x^{**}) \leq h^*(x^*, x^{**}) \leq \varphi_T^*(x^*, x^{**}) \leq (\mathcal{S}_T)^{**}(x^{**}, x^*). \tag{24}$$

Define $g : X^* \times X^{**}$ as $g = {}_{X^* \times X^{**}}\, (\mathcal{S}_T)^*$, that is,

$$g(x^*, x^{**}) = ((\mathcal{S}_T)^*)^*(x^{**}, x^*).$$

Using (23) and Theorem 2.3 we conclude that $g \in \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\widetilde{T}}$. Therefore, using again the maximal monotonicity of $\Lambda\widetilde{T}$ in $X^* \times X^{**}$, we have

$$g(x^*, x^{**}) = (\mathcal{S}_T)^{**}(x^{**}, x^*) = \langle x^*, x^{**}\rangle, \quad \forall (x^*, x^{**}) \in \Lambda\widetilde{T}.$$

Combining the above equations with (24) we conclude that h^* majorizes the duality product in $X^* \times X^{**}$ and coincides with it in $\Lambda\widetilde{T}$. As h^* is also convex and closed, we have $h^* \in \mathcal{F}_{\Lambda\,\widetilde{T}}$.

The fact that T satisfies the restricted Brøndsted-Rockafellar property follows from the assumption on $\mathcal{S}_T$ and [16, Theorem 4.2]. □

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Suppose there exist only one $\widetilde{T} \subset X^{**} \times X^*$ maximal monotone extension of T to $X^{**} \times X^*$ and that

$$\mathcal{S}_T^*(x_0^*, x_0^{**}) < \langle x_0^*, x_0^{**}\rangle. \tag{25}$$

As Λ is a bijection that preserves the duality product and $\Lambda T = T^{-1}$, we conclude that $\Lambda\widetilde{T}$ is the unique maximal monotone extension of T^{-1} to $X^* \times X^{**}$. Using now Theorem 2.5, Theorem 2.4 and (19) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda\widetilde{T} &= \left(T^{-1}\right)^{\mu, X^* \times X^{**}} \\ &= \{(x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**} \,|\, \varphi_{T^{-1}, X^* \times X^{**}}(x^*, x^{**}) \leq \langle x^*, x^{**}\rangle\} \\ &= \{(x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**} \,|\, \mathcal{S}_T^*(x^*, x^{**}) \leq \langle x^*, x^{**}\rangle\}. \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Suppose that

$$(\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x^*, x^{**}) < \infty. \tag{27}$$

Define, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$p(t) := (x_0^*, x_0^{**}) + t(x^* - x_0^*, x^{**} - x_0^{**}) = (1-t)(x_0^*, x_0^{**}) + t(x^*, x^{**}).$$

As $(\mathcal{S}_T)^*$ is convex,

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{S}_T)^*(p(t)) - \pi_{X^* \times X^{**}}(p(t)) \leq &(1-t)(\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x_0^*, x_0^{**}) + t(\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x^*, x^{**}) \\ &- \pi_{X^* \times X^{**}}(p(t)), \qquad \forall t \in [0,1].\end{aligned}$$

As the duality product is continuous, the limit of the right hand side of this inequality, for $t \to 0+$ is $(\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x_0^*, x_0^{**}) - \langle x_0^*, x_0^{**}\rangle < 0$.. Combining this fact with (26) we conclude that for $t \geq 0$ and small enough,

$$(x_0^*, x_0^{**}) + t(x^* - x_0^*, x^{**} - x_0^{**}) \in \Lambda\widetilde{T}.$$

Altogether, we proved that

$$(\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x^*,x^{**})<\infty \Rightarrow \exists \bar{t}>0,\ \forall t\in[0,\bar{t}] \\ (x_0^*,x_0^{**})+t(x^*-x_0^*,x^{**}-x_0^{**})\in \Lambda\widetilde{T}. \tag{28}$$

Now, suppose that

$$(\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x_1^*,x_1^{**})<\infty, \qquad \mathcal{S}_T^*(x_2^*,x_2^{**})<\infty.$$

Then there exists $t>0$ such that

$$(x_0^*,x_0^{**})+t(x^*-x_0^*,x^{**}-x_0^{**})\in \Lambda\widetilde{T}, \qquad (x_1^*,x_1^{**})+t(x^*-x_1^*,x^{**}-x_1^{**})\in \Lambda\widetilde{T},$$

As $\Lambda\widetilde{T}$ is (maximal) monotone, the above points are monotone related and

$$t\langle x_1^*-x_2^*,x_1^{**}-x_2^{**}\rangle\geq 0.$$

Hence, $\langle x_1^*-x_2^*,x_1^{**}-x_2^{**}\rangle\geq 0$. Therefore the set

$$W:=\{(x^*,x^{**})\in X^*\times X^{**}\,|\,\mathcal{S}_T(x^*,x^{**})<\infty\},$$

is monotone. By (26), $\Lambda\widetilde{T}\subset W$. Hence $W=\Lambda\widetilde{T}$. As $(\mathcal{S}_T)^*$ is convex, W is also convex. Therefore, $\Lambda\widetilde{T}$ is convex and maximal monotone. Now, using Lemma 3.1 we conclude that $\Lambda\widetilde{T}$ is affine. This also implies that $\widetilde{T}$ is affine linear. As

$$T=\widetilde{T}\cap X\times X^*,$$

we conclude that T is affine linear, in contradiction with our assumptions. Therefore (25) can not hold. □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Define

$$\widetilde{T}:=\{(x^{**},x^*)\in X^{**}\times X^*\,|\,\langle x^*-y^*,x^{**}-y\rangle\geq 0,\ \forall\,(y,y^*)\in T\}\,. \tag{29}$$

Gossez has proved that condition D guarantee that $\widetilde{T}$ is the unique maximal monotone extension of T to $X^{**}\times X^*$.

Using again the fact that Λ is a bijection which preserve the duality product and that $\Lambda T=T^{-1}$ we conclude that $\Lambda\,\widetilde{T}$ is the unique maximal monotone extension of T^{-1} to $X^*\times X^{**}$.

We claim that for any $(z^*,z^{**})\in X^*\times X^{**}$

$$\langle x^*,z^{**}\rangle+\langle z^*,x^{**}\rangle-\langle z^*,z^{**}\rangle\leq(\pi+\delta_T)^*(z^*,z^{**})\quad\forall(x^*,x^{**})\in\Lambda\widetilde{T}. \tag{30}$$

To prove this claim, take an arbitrary $(x^*, x^{**}) \in \Lambda\widetilde{T}$. Then, $(x^{**}, x^*) \in \widetilde{T}$ and using Definition 1.1 conclude that there exists a *bounded* net $\{(x_i, x_i^*)\}_{i\in I} \subset T$ such that

$$x_i \overset{\sigma(X^{**},X^*)}{\longrightarrow} x^{**}, \qquad x_i^* \overset{\|\cdot\|}{\longrightarrow} x^*.$$

Then, for any $i \in I$

$$\langle x_i^*, z^{**}\rangle + \langle z^*, x_i\rangle - \langle z^*, z^{**}\rangle \leq (\pi + \delta_T)^*(z^*, z^{**}).$$

Taking the limit on the above inequality in i we obtain the desired result (30). Taking, in the inequality of (30), the sup on $(x^*, x^{**}) \in \Lambda\widetilde{T}$ we obtain

$$\varphi_{\Lambda\widetilde{T}} \leq (\delta_T + \pi)^*.$$

As $\Lambda\widetilde{T}$ is maximal monotone in $X^* \times X^{**}$,

$$\varphi_{\Lambda\widetilde{T}}(x^*, x^{**}) \geq \langle x^*, x^{**}\rangle, \qquad \forall (x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}.$$

Combining the two above inequalities with (19) we conclude that

$$(\mathcal{S}_T)^*(x^*, x^{**}) \geq \varphi_{\Lambda\widetilde{T}}(x^*, x^{**}) \geq \langle x^*, x^{**}\rangle, \qquad \forall (x^*, x^{**}) \in X^* \times X^{**}.$$

To end the proof, use Theorem 1.1. □

References

[1] Garrett Birkhoff. *Lattice theory*. Third edition. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXV. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1967.

[2] R. S. Burachik, A. N. Iusem, and B. F. Svaiter. Enlargement of monotone operators with applications to variational inequalities. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 5(2):159–180, 1997.

[3] R. S. Burachik and B. F. Svaiter. ϵ-enlargements of maximal monotone operators in Banach spaces. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 7(2):117–132, 1999.

[4] R. S. Burachik and B. F. Svaiter. Maximal monotone operators, convex functions and a special family of enlargements. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 10(4):297–316, 2002.

[5] R. S. Burachik and B. F. Svaiter. Maximal monotonicity, conjugation and the duality product. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(8):2379–2383 (electronic), 2003.

[6] Regina S. Burachik, Claudia A. Sagastizábal, and B. F. Svaiter. ϵ-enlargements of maximal monotone operators: theory and applications. In *Reformulation: nonsmooth, piecewise smooth, semismooth and smoothing methods (Lausanne, 1997)*, volume 22 of *Appl. Optim.*, pages 25–43. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999.

[7] Rafael Correa and Pedro Gajardo. Eigenvalues of set-valued operators in Banach spaces. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 13(1):1–19, 2005.

[8] S. Fitzpatrick. Representing monotone operators by convex functions. In *Workshop/Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra, 1988)*, volume 20 of *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.*, pages 59–65. Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1988.

[9] J.-P. Gossez. Opérateurs monotones non linéaires dans les espaces de Banach non réflexifs. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 34:371–395, 1971.

[10] J.-P. Gossez. On the range of a coercive maximal monotone operator in a nonreflexive Banach space. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 35:88–92, 1972.

[11] J.-P. Gossez. On a convexity property of the range of a maximal monotone operator. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 55(2):359–360, 1976.

[12] J.-P. Gossez. On the extensions to the bidual of a maximal monotone operator. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 62(1):67–71 (1977), 1976.

[13] T. T. Hue and J. J. Strodiot. Convergence analysis of a relaxed extragradient-proximal point algorithm application to variational inequalities. *Optimization*, 54(2):191–213, 2005.

[14] A. Kaplan and R. Tichatschke. Bregman-like functions and proximal methods for variational problems with nonlinear constraints. *Optimization*, 56(1-2):253–265, 2007.

[15] Paul-Emile Maingé and Abdellatif Moudafi. A proximal method for maximal monotone operators via discretization of a first order dissipative dynamical system. *J. Convex Anal.*, 14(4):869–878, 2007.

[16] M. Marques Alves and B.F. Svaiter. Brønsted-Rockafellar property and maximality of monotone operators representable by convex functions in non-reflexive Banach spaces. *Journal of Convex Analysis*, (15), 2008. To appear.

[17] J.-E. Martínez-Legaz and B. F. Svaiter. Monotone operators representable by l.s.c. convex functions. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 13(1):21–46, 2005.

[18] M. V. Solodov and B. F. Svaiter. A hybrid approximate extragradient-proximal point algorithm using the enlargement of a maximal monotone operator. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 7(4):323–345, 1999.

[19] M. V. Solodov and B. F. Svaiter. A unified framework for some inexact proximal point algorithms. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, 22(7-8):1013–1035, 2001.

[20] B. F. Svaiter. A family of enlargements of maximal monotone operators. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 8(4):311–328, 2000.

[21] B. F. Svaiter. Fixed points in the family of convex representations of a maximal monotone operator. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 131(12):3851–3859 (electronic), 2003.